

# Economic Views Brief

Russell T. Price, CFA | Chief Economist  
April 7, 2025

## Examining the Trump tariff threat

The magnitude and immediacy of tariff actions recently announced by the Trump Administration are unprecedented. This makes estimating their economic impact and the path ahead that much more difficult and furthers the uncertainty surrounding the situation. Currently, market participants do not have traditional and well-understood economic principles to evaluate, but rather, the near-term path is heavily reliant on the very uncertain next steps of a handful of individuals.

Simply examined, the sharp increase in tariffs would raise prices for imported goods significantly, reducing consumer demand. Retaliatory tariffs would also be expected to reduce demand for U.S. exports. Lower import values would partially offset these negatives since imports are a subtraction from Gross Domestic Product (GDP).

Many domestic businesses would also likely see lower aggregate demand, thus potentially leading to pressure on employment over the intermediate-term. Any benefit to domestic production and employment from “reshoring” would likely take years, assuming the tariffs remain in place.

**Overall, we estimate that the tariffs, as currently imposed and proposed, would reduce U.S. real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by 1.0% to 2.5% this year (mostly in the second half) with a similar gain in inflation.**

The wide estimation range reflects the uncertainty regarding the Trump administration’s next steps and potential actions from Congress. At this early stage, we believe a recession is not necessarily guaranteed, but the unprecedented nature of the situation and its ongoing uncertainty has already led financial markets to respond as if that were a foregone conclusion – which itself is likely to add to the pull-back in consumer sentiment and spending.

The President has stated his tariff objectives are to bring back U.S. manufacturing capabilities and the related jobs lost to foreign competitors over decades. Generating revenue (tariffs are paid to the federal government) to offset the debt /deficit impact of extending the personal income tax cuts enacted under 2017’s Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) is also a key consideration for the administration.

In our view, such goals would be better addressed via a gradual implementation of tariffs, thus allowing domestic businesses adequate time to adjust their supply chains.

Table source: Census Department trade figures and AEIS estimates.



### Key Takeaways

- Tariffs recently announced by the Trump Administration are unprecedented in the modern era. The magnitude and speed at which they are being implemented also adds to the potential economic disruption of the actions.
- We estimate the tariffs, as currently imposed and proposed could cut U.S. real GDP growth by 1.0% to 2.5% over the next 12-months with a similar gain in inflation.
- Despite the denials of various administration officials, we still believe there is an opportunity for the tariffs to be trimmed in coming weeks and months via individual country agreements.

### Estimated Cost of Tariffs (in billions)

Decline in imports:	Average Tariff rate:			
	10%	15%	20%	25%
0%	\$267	\$401	\$534	\$668
10%	\$240	\$361	\$481	\$601
20%	\$214	\$321	\$427	\$534
30%	\$187	\$280	\$374	\$467

**NOTE: FOR IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES, INCLUDING POSSIBLE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, PLEASE SEE THE DISCLOSURE PAGES AT THE END OF THIS DOCUMENT.** For further information on any of the topics mentioned, please contact your financial advisor.

As was long telegraphed and eagerly anticipated, on April 2<sup>nd</sup> the Trump Administration unveiled a significant round of tariffs affecting practically every nation on earth. The announced actions were much more comprehensive and widespread than expected, but there were also some carve-outs.

The carve-outs for key products covered under the United States, Mexico, Canada Agreement (USMCA) as well as pharmaceuticals, semiconductors, cooper, and lumber should reduce the negative growth and inflation consequences of the tariffs and, overall, make them relatively more economically digestible (but still very difficult).

Given the significant number of moving pieces in this transformation of the global trading scheme, uncertainty remains very high. The “negotiating tactics” view of the situation is quickly fading. However, we still believe there is plenty of room for significant tariff cuts to be negotiated, cuts that could potentially affect a significant percentage of imports over the months ahead.

**Q: What is a tariff?**

A: A tariff is a tax on imported goods that is paid to the federal government. The importing entity is responsible for paying the tax, which makes procuring goods or services from certain countries incrementally more expensive. This is meant to encourage the importer to either find a domestic source of supply or a source from a different country. However, supply chains, particularly in the manufacturing sector – can take significant time to adjust.

**Q: How significant are the tariffs that have been imposed?**

A: In 2024, the U.S. imported goods with a value of \$3.261 trillion, or about 11.2% of U.S. GDP. We estimate that excluding the carve-outs as noted above, would bring the value of the goods affected by the tariffs to \$2.67 trillion. Applying a 20% average tariff on this value would equate to tariffs of \$534 billion. However, the higher costs are likely to reduce demand. As such, based on the calculations shown in the table on page one, we believe a decline in imports of approximately 20% could be achieved, and at an average tariff rate of 20%, the total cost would be about \$427 billion, or about 1.8% of GDP.

The very material tariff values announced also seem to be structured to incentivize “deals”. Although various administration officials have stressed that the tariffs are permanent, the President has indicated an openness to negotiation on an individual country basis. Ultimately, we believe signs of such negotiations and resulting tariff rate cuts could reverse some of the present concerns over their negative influence on economic activity and corporate earnings, thus offer room for a partial rebound in financial markets.

**Q: What does the inflationary impact look like?**

A: On an annualized basis, we estimate that, using the same formula as above could boost the Consumer Price Index (CPI), i.e., inflation, by 1.0% to 1.8% for a full year. But lasting tariff implementations would likely require congressional approval and legislation. Republicans have very slim majorities in the House and Senate, although officials from auto-intensive states would likely balk at the tariffs being made permanent, in our view.

**Q: Who ultimately bears the cost of the tariffs?**

A: Most of the added cost is likely to be added to the final cost of the good, thus leaving consumers with the tab. This would depend, however, on the pricing power for various goods. The price of a small toy going from \$4.00 to \$5.00 would likely go unnoticed, so the volume sold may not change much. For a washing machine, automobile, television, or other big-ticket item, however, an added 20% would likely be prohibitive for consumers without a material degradation in sales volumes.

Other avenues of tariff cost absorption include: a stronger U.S. dollar, tighter profit margins for the exporter and /or importer and /or retailer. Re-sourcing suppliers would be a very long endeavor, especially in such industries as autos, auto parts, appliances, energy commodities, heavy equipment, and certain farm goods amongst others.

**Q: What type of economic impact might the tariffs have?**

A: Over the near term, the tariffs could have their greatest impact via border disruptions as some businesses could seek to delay shipments in hopes the tariffs are revoked sooner rather than later. If so, some U.S. manufacturing operations may need to temporarily shut-down, particularly in the auto industry. If so, it would likely put considerable pressure on the President to reverse course.

**Q: Could the tariffs become permanent?**

A: The Trump administration has significant leeway to impose near-term rates but lasting trade agreement changes would require Congressional legislation, in our view. The President is posturing that the tariffs will be permanent, but the stated objectives suggest a different story, in our opinion. With Mexico and Canada, for instance, the President has said he wants greater cooperation on border control issues related to immigration and drug flows. We believe these are reasonable and attainable objectives for all.

**Q: Should investors sell into any near-term market weakness?**

A: When or if agreements are reached, we believe markets can rebound, thus leaving those that try to “time” these events with underperformance relative to a “hold” strategy.

Overall, we believe the U.S. economy currently stands on solid fundamentals, most notably solid consumer and corporate balance sheets. Such conditions bode well for longer-term economic prospects in our view and should offer support to conditions even as tariffs entice significant headwinds over the intermediate-term. Extreme tariff policies, however, could yet be strong enough to pull the economy into what we believe would be a short, shallow downturn. Admittedly, our “short and shallow” viewpoint is partially based on an assumption that more rational heads prevail, sooner rather than later. We believe there is a strong possibility that some tariffs are trimmed-back, or in some cases eliminated. That may be inconsequential, however, if markets ‘price-in’ a recession beforehand.

*This space intentionally left blank.*

**Ameriprise Financial**

1441 West Long Lake Road, Suite 250, Troy, MI 48098

Telephone: 248.205.5808

For additional information or to locate your nearest branch office, visit [ameriprise.com](http://ameriprise.com).

The content in this report is authored by American Enterprise Investment Services Inc. ("AEIS") and distributed by Ameriprise Financial Services, LLC ("AFS") to financial advisors and clients of AFS. AEIS and AFS are affiliates and subsidiaries of Ameriprise Financial, Inc. Both AEIS and AFS are member firms registered with FINRA and are subject to the objectivity safeguards and disclosure requirements relating to research analysts and the publication and distribution of research reports. The "Important Disclosures" below relate to the AEIS research analyst(s) that prepared this publication. The "Disclosures of Possible Conflicts of Interest" section, where applicable, relates to the conflicts of interest of each of AEIS and AFS, their affiliates and their research analysts, as applicable, with respect to the subject companies mentioned in the report.

Each of AEIS and AFS have implemented policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that its employees involved in the preparation, content and distribution of research reports, including dually registered employees, do not influence the objectivity or timing of the publication of research report content. All research policies, coverage decisions, compensation, hiring and other personnel decisions with respect to research analysts are made by AEIS, which is operationally independent of AFS.

**Important Disclosures****As of March 31, 2025**

The views expressed regarding the company(ies) and sector(s) featured in this publication reflect the personal views of the research analyst(s) authoring the publication. Further, no part of research analyst compensation is directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views contained in this publication.

**Disclaimer Section**

Except for the historical information contained herein, certain matters in this report are forward-looking statements or projections that are dependent upon certain risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to, such factors and considerations as general market volatility, global economic and geopolitical impacts, fiscal and monetary policy, liquidity, the level of interest rates, historical sector performance relationships as they relate to the business and economic cycle, consumer preferences, foreign currency exchange rates, litigation risk, competitive positioning, the ability to successfully integrate acquisitions, the ability to develop and commercialize new products and services, legislative risks, the pricing environment for products and services, and compliance with various local, state, and federal health care laws. See latest third-party research reports and updates for risks pertaining to a particular security.

This summary is based upon financial information and statistical data obtained from sources deemed reliable, but in no way is warranted by Ameriprise Financial, Inc. as to accuracy or completeness. This is not a solicitation by Ameriprise Financial Services, LLC of any order to buy or sell securities. This summary is based exclusively on an analysis of general current market conditions, rather than the appropriateness of a specific proposed securities transaction. We will not advise you as to any change in figures or our views.

***Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.***

**Investment products are not insured by the FDIC, NCUA or any federal agency, are not deposits or obligations of, or guaranteed by any financial institution, and involve investment risks including possible loss of principal and fluctuation in value.**

Ameriprise Financial, Inc. and its affiliates do not offer tax or legal advice. Consumers should consult with their tax advisor or attorney regarding their specific situation.

Ameriprise Financial Services, LLC. Member FINRA and SIPC.